



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Golden Gate National Recreation Area

Fort Mason, San Francisco, California 94123

IN REPLY REFER TO:

H4217 (GOGA-RMPCR)

Memorandum

To: Daphne Hatch, Chief of Natural Resources, Golden Gate

From: General Superintendent, Golden Gate

Subject: Completion of Certification for Project through
Preservation Assessment Form (5X)
Project Title: Bank Swallow and habitat protection
project, Fort Funston, Golden Gate
Certification No.: GOGA-01-032

Enclosed is a copy of the signed Preservation Assessment Form (5X) indicating that the subject project has received Certification for compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act through our Park Programmatic Agreement. You may proceed with the project once you have met the other requirements of Project Review.

for Brian O'Neil
for Mary Gibson Scott
Assistant Superintendent, Operations

Attachment

cc:
Facility Manager, South Dist., w/o att.
Environmental Protection Spec., Golden Gate, w/o att.

PRESERVATION ASSESSMENT (5X FORM)
GOLDEN GATE NATIONAL RECREATION AREA
CALIFORNIA
PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENTS
OF 1992 AND 1994 - NPS, SHPO, ACHP

Completion of this form is required for all projects which have the potential to affect cultural properties in Golden Gate National Recreation Area. Attach supporting documentation (i.e. site map, drawings, cut sheets) which will help to adequately describe the proposed project. This form is completed pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as Amended.

A. Originating Office

1. Management Unit: Fort Funston

2. Cultural resource(s) affected by the proposed project (Building name and number or name of landscape feature, archeological feature, or artifact): cultural landscape at north end of Fort Funston

3. a. Describe the proposed project concisely:

Fort Funston Bank Swallow and Habitat Protection Project (PW00-121): Approximately 12 acres of Fort Funston will be closed year-round to off-trail recreational use by the public. Map 1 delineates the proposed fencing alignment. The current fencing alignment (illustrated in Map 2) will be changed in the following manner: the fence separating the seasonal and permanent areas will be removed; the southern boundary fence of the seasonally closed area will be extended south to the alignment on Map 1. An integrated pest management approach will be used to remove invasive iceplant on the project site (hand removal and small equipment), followed by revegetation with native plants.

b. The proposed project will (check as many as apply):

- ☐ Destroy historic fabric
- ☐ Remove historic fabric
- ☐ Replace historic fabric in kind
- ☐ Replace missing historic fabric
- ☐ Add nonhistoric elements to a historic structure
- ☒ Alter historic ground cover or vegetation
- ☒ Introduce nonhistoric elements (visible, audible, or atmospheric) into historic setting/environment.
- ☐ Reintroduce historic elements in a historic setting or environment.
- ☐ Remove historic elements from a historic environment.
- ☒ Will disturb ground surface.

604A-01-032

- () Incur gradual deterioration of historic fabric, terrain, setting.
- () Other - Describe briefly:

c. Describe the effects indicated in 3b concisely:

Non-native, historic plantings of iceplant will be removed and replaced with native plant species appropriate to coastal dune ecosystems.

Fencing (wood posts, cable and wire mesh approx. 40" in height) will be installed to close the area.

Removal of non-native vegetation, native plant restoration and fence installation will disturb the immediate ground surface (sand dunes) and to approx. 3-4 ft. depth where fence posts are installed.

d. Describe measures planned to minimize effects:

Fence alignment is primarily along the existing roadway rather than through less disturbed habitat. Large areas of iceplant will remain in other areas of Fort Funston.

4. Identify professional planning or engineering documents utilized and firms or offices involved:

Project Review packet PW00-121A, Nov. 22, 2000

5. Explain why the project is needed:

The project is needed to protect habitat for a nesting colony of California state-threatened bank swallows, to enhance significant native plant communities, improve public safety, and reduce human-induced impacts to the coastal bluffs, a significant geological feature.


6. Attach site map, drawings, specifications, photographs and/or detailed project descriptions to this form (required).

7. Prepared by: Daphne Hatch Title: Chief Division of Natural Resource Management and Research (Acting)

Telephone Number: (415)331-0744

Date: 12/1/00

Branch Chief Signature:



Date:

12/4/00

Submit form to Park Section 106 Coordinator. Form Received:
Park Section 106 Coordinator will complete items 8, 9, and 10.

DEC 4 2000

8. Level of effect of project:
☐ No Effect
☒ No Adverse Effect
☐ Adverse Effect
9. How will Section 106 Compliance be achieved?
☐ Compliance for an action described in a Plan which has been approved by the SHPO and ACHP
☒ Under GOGA PA
☐ Under Presidio PA
☐ Under a separate PA, if so list?
(e.g. Servicewide PA of 1995)
☐ New compliance agreement needed, under 36 CFR Part 800 - Regulations Advisory Council
10. Policies, standards and guidelines followed:
☒ "NPS 28: Cultural Resource Management Guideline"
☐ "Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings"
☐ "Guidelines for Rehabilitating Buildings at the Presidio of San Francisco"
☒ "Draft Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Landscapes"
☐ "Presidio Sign Guideline" or "Tenant Sign Policy"
☐ Other - List:

B. Park Historic Preservation Staff Review and Certification

1. The foregoing assessment is adequate; the proposed action is consistent with all applicable NPS Management Policies, standards, guidelines or USDI Standards and Guidelines, Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings or others and incorporates measures to avoid Adverse Effects.
2. Proposed undertaking will be adequate only if the attached stipulations are incorporated into the undertaking.
3. Proposed undertaking will need separate compliance under the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regulations (36 CFR Part 800).


Review Certification:

(Check Yes or No for each of the boxes adjacent to signature line. The purpose of each box is indicated in the above three descriptions.)

1.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	<input type="checkbox"/> N		
2.	<input type="checkbox"/> ()	<input type="checkbox"/> (NA)		
3.	<input type="checkbox"/> ()	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Y)	<u>Leo Barker</u>	<u>12/4/00</u>
			Park Archeologist	Date
1.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Y)	<input type="checkbox"/> ()		
2.	<input type="checkbox"/> ()	<input type="checkbox"/> (NA)		
3.	<input type="checkbox"/> ()	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Y)	<u>Susan Ewing Haley</u>	<u>12/4/00</u>
			Park Curator	Date
1.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Y)	<input type="checkbox"/> ()		
2.	<input type="checkbox"/> ()	<input type="checkbox"/> (NA)		
3.	<input type="checkbox"/> ()	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Y)	<u>Michael White</u>	<u>12/4/00</u>
			Park Cultural Landscape Architect	Date
1.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Y)	<input type="checkbox"/> ()		
2.	<input type="checkbox"/> ()	<input type="checkbox"/> (NA)		
3.	<input type="checkbox"/> ()	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Y)	<u>William H. H.</u>	<u>12/4/00</u>
			Park Historical Architect	Date
1.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Y)	<input type="checkbox"/> ()		
2.	<input type="checkbox"/> ()	<input type="checkbox"/> (NA)		
3.	<input type="checkbox"/> ()	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Y)	<u>H. Hall</u>	<u>12/4/00</u>
			Park Historian	Date

- C. Additional requirements/stipulations (as indicated by a Y check in item 2 at the signatures above) required for this proposal to be approved: See Certification Memo from General Superintendent.

- D. General Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, approval of proposed action including additional requirements.


General Superintendent

12-05-00
Date

Park Management Areas, from General Management Plan of 1980, page 139 through page 152. These are the structures on the NPS list of Classified Structures which meet the National Register Criteria as single structures or if in historic districts as contributing structures. Since 1980 additional structures have been added, retaining the area classification system.

AL	Alcatraz
AP	Aquatic Park
FM	Fort Mason
PE	Presidio
SH	Cliff House/Sutro District
OB	Ocean Beach
FI	Fort Miley
FF	Fort Funston
FB	Fort Baker
FA	Fort Barry
FC	Fort Cronkhite
MT	Mount Tamalpais
OV	Olema Valley*
FP	Fort Point
PR	Point Reyes*
MU	Muir Woods

*Some lands formally part of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area are administered by Point Reyes National Seashore under an agreement. This particularly involves lands with operating farms and ranches. The historic preservation staff at Golden Gate will review proposed undertakings pursuant to this agreement.